

Natural Resources Conservation Service

Application Ranking Summary

NA-Uintah Basin Pasture_Socially Disadvantaged

Program:	Ranking Date:	Application Number:
Ranking Tool: NA-Uintah Basin Pasture_Socially Disadvantaged		Applicant:
Final Ranking Score:		Address:
Planner:		Telephone:
Farm Location:		

National Priorities Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
If the application is for development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP), the agency will assign significant ranking priority and conservation benefit by answering "Yes" to the following question. Answering "Yes" to question 1a will result in the application being awarded the maximum amount of points that can be earned for the national priority category.	
1. a. Is the program application to support the development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP)? If answer is "Yes", do not answer any other national level questions. If answer is "No", proceed with evaluation to address the remaining questions in this section.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Water Quality Degradation – Will the proposed project improve water quality by: (select all that apply)	
2. a. Implementing the practices in a Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. b. Implementing the practices in a Nutrient Management Plan (NMP)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. c. Reducing impacts from sediment, nutrients, salinity, or pesticides on land adjoining a designated "impaired water body" (TMDL, 303d listed waterbody, or other State designation)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. d. Reducing the impacts from sediment, nutrients, salinity, or pesticides in a "non-impaired water body"?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. e. Implementing practices that improve water quality through animal mortality and carcass management?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Water Conservation – Will the proposed project conserve water by: (select all that apply)	
3. a. Implementing irrigation practices that reduce aquifer overdraft.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. b. Implementing irrigation practices that reduce on-farm water use?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. c. Implementing practices in an area where the applicant participates in a geographically established or watershed-wide project?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. d. Implementing practices that reduce on-farm water use as a result of changing to crops with lower water consumptive use, the rotation of crops, or the modification of cultural operations?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Air Quality - Will the proposed project improve air quality by: (select all that apply)	
4. a. Meeting on-farm regulatory requirements relating to air quality or proactively avoid the need for regulatory measures?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. b. Implementing practices that reduce on-farm emissions of particulate matter (PM2.5, PM10)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. c. Implementing practices that reduce on-farm generated greenhouse gases such as carbon dioxide (CO2), methane (CH4), and nitrous oxide (N2O)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. d. Implementing practices that increase on-farm carbon sequestration?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Soil Health:- Will the proposed project improve soil health by: (select all that apply)	
5. a. Reduce erosion to tolerable limits (Soil "T")?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. b. Increasing organic matter and carbon content, and improving soil tilth and structure?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Wildlife Habitat – Will the proposed project improve wildlife habitat by: (select all that apply)	
6. a. Implementing practices benefitting threatened and endangered, at-risk, candidate, or species of concern.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

6. b. Implementing practices that retain wildlife and plant habitat on land exiting the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) or other set-aside program?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
6. c. Implementing practices benefitting honey bee populations or other pollinators?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
6. d. Implementing land-based practices that improve habitat for aquatic wildlife?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Plant and Animal Communities: Will the proposed project improve plant and animal communities by: (select all that apply)	
7. a. Implementing practices that result in the management control of noxious or invasive plant species on non-cropland?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
7. b. Implementing practice in an Integrated Pest Management Plan (IPM)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Energy Conservation– Will the proposed project reduce energy use by: (select all that apply)	
8. a. Reducing on-farm energy consumption?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
8. b. Implementing practice(s) identified in an approved AgEMP or energy audit, which meet ASABE S612 criteria?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Business Lines – Will the practices to be scheduled in the “EQIP Plan of Operations” result in:	
9. a. Enhancement of existing conservation practice(s) or conservation systems already in place at the time the application is received?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

State Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Is the program application to support the development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP)? If answer is “Yes”, do not answer any other state level questions. If answer is “No”, proceed with evaluation to address the remaining questions in this section?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. Wildlife – Will the practices installed facilitate improvement in the habitat for sensitive, threatened, or endangered species?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. Weeds - Will the practices installed control all invasive species present on the offered acres?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. Water Quality – Will practices be installed to improve the identified TMDL impairment adjacent to and/or located less than 1320 feet from a TMDL identified water body?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. Grazing Lands - Will the practices installed result in the implementation of a prescribed grazing system?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
6. Pollinator Habitat – Will this application include development of food, cover, and/or nest sites for native pollinators in accordance with Utah Technical Guide Notice UT238?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

Local Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Is the program application to support the development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP)? If answer is “Yes”, do not answer any other Local level questions. If answer is “No”, proceed with evaluation to address the remaining questions in this section.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Integrated Pest Management (Answer YES to only one of the following 2-3.)	
2. Will this application implement an advanced IPM (595) plan to control local/county/state invasive/noxious pests and weeds? (plan must include maintaining records for scouting, alternative treatment methods, timing of application, least hazardous chemical use, etc.)	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. Will this application implement a basic IPM (595) plan to control local/county/state invasive/noxious pests and weeds? (limited scouting, timing of application & following label required)	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Soils (Answer Yes to only one of the following 4-6)	
4. Does the applicant have a current soils test AND are they applying manure/fertilizers as not to exceed the recommendations of this test for all lands this application will service. (current year test on annual crop or within three years on perennial crops)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. Will the applicant implement basic nutrient management (590) in the contract (taking soil tests) and apply nutrients not to exceed recommendations?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
6. Will the applicant implement an advanced precision nutrient management (590) plan in the contract using tools such as variable rate applicators, NDVI, yield monitoring, and GPS guidance technology?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Grazing Plan (Answer Yes to only one of the following 7-9.)	

7. Will the cooperator implement an Intensive Pasture Grazing Plan (528)? (plan must include records pertaining to trend, composition, and production)	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
8. Will the cooperator implement a Deferred Pasture grazing system (528)? (Requires delayed grazing by 90 days or more and record keeping)	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
9. Will the cooperator implement a Standard Pasture Grazing Plan (528)? (plan must include photo points and stubble height after grazing with record keeping)	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
10. Will salt blocks and other supplements be rotated within the pasture and placed away from watering facilities and/or riparian areas?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
11. Will livestock be fenced away from and/or will watering facilities/feeding areas be located away from any water body to lessen impacts of high concentration?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Pasture Condition (Answer Yes to only one of the following 12-16)	
12. Is the change in Pasture Condition Score (PCS), as calculated using the designated PCS scoresheet for EQIP, between 0 to 2?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
13. Is the change in PCS, as calculated using the designated PCS scoresheet for EQIP, between 2.1 to 3.9?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
14. Is the change in PCS, as calculated using the designated PCS scoresheet for EQIP, between 4 to 5.9?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
15. Is the change in PCS, as calculated using the designated PCS scoresheet for EQIP, between 6 to 7.9?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
16. Is the change in PCS, as calculated using the designated PCS scoresheet for EQIP, 8 or greater?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Irrigation Efficiency - (Answer YES to only one of the following 17-21.) Use the FIRI tool to determine Potential Water Savings	
17. Is the change in Potential Water Savings as calculated by FIRI <15%?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
18. Is the change in Potential Water Savings as calculated by FIRI 15% to 20%?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
19. Is the change in Potential Water Savings as calculated by FIRI 21% to 30%?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
20. Is the change in Potential Water Savings as calculated by FIRI 31% to 40%?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
21. Is the change in Potential Water Savings as calculated by FIRI >40%?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Cost Effectiveness (Answer Yes to only one of the following 22-30.)	
22. Will the cost per acre of this project be less than \$250 an acre?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
23. Will the cost per acre of this project be between \$250 and \$500 acre?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
24. Will the cost per acre of this project be between \$501 and \$750 acre?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
25. Will the cost per acre of this project be between \$751 and \$1000 acre?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
26. Will the cost per acre of this project be between \$1001 and \$1250 acre?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
27. Will the cost per acre of this project be between \$1251 and \$1500 acre?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
28. Will the cost per acre of this project be between \$1501 and \$1750 acre?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
29. Will the cost per acre of this project be between \$1751 and \$2000 acre?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
30. Will the cost per acre of this project be greater than \$2000 acre?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Contract Compliance (if applicable)	
31. Has the applicant had a Farm Bill Contract terminated for non-compliance?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
32. Does the applicant have an active Farm Bill contract that is currently not on schedule, in non-compliance or practices in arrears?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

Land Use:

Resource Concerns	Practices
-------------------	-----------

Ranking Score

Efficiency:
Local Issues:
State Issues:

National Issues:

Final Ranking Score:

This ranking report is for your information. It does not in any way guarantee funding. When funding becomes available, you will be notified if your application is selected for funding. Some changes to the application may be required before a final contract is awarded.

Notes:

NRCS Representative:

Signature Date:

**Applicant Signature Not Required on this report for
Contract Development unless required by State policy:**

Signature Date: